Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/576,723	ISOGAI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Tony G. Soohoo	1797		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO				
(1) <u>Tony G. Soohoo, USPTO</u> .	(3) Christophen	Bullard		
(2) <u>David C. Mellon, USPTO</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>21 April 2009</u> .	•			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	²)⊠ applicant's representative			
If Yes, brief description:	e) No.			
Claim(s) discussed: proposed claim 1 1 See	AHAONO, 2PAGES			
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N	/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Applicant dis	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement was		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	eed would render the claims ould render the claims		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OINTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V RVIEW. See Summary of Rec	been filed, APPLICANT IS DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO cord of Interview		
requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. LO0197, L00487, L00497. Applicant the prudheson force that differs from the pr	leges that the instant a	approhis utilizes the		
adher Dun M. + 1 Charles the Dr	ion Aut. The office	e starce as to		
the II and the There were	light in the mixe	ture is more directed		
the adhesion forces of the relative liquids in the mixture is more directed to the effect and operation of the strictural elements and not to the particular to the effect and operation of the strictural elements and not to the particular to the effect and operation of the strictural elements and not to the particular to the effect and operation of the strictural elements and not to the particular to the effect and operation of the strictural elements.				
Structural limitation producing the e	flect.			
Sirector () Time to the process of the		1 1		
	TONY G. SOO PRIMARY EXAM	HOO MINER		
		·		



ATT

WWW.OBLON.COM

FACSIMILE PLEASE CALL US AT (703) 413-3000 IF THE MESSAGE YOU RECEIVE IS INCOMPLETE OR NOT LEGIBLE

	TO	MELLON, DAVID C.	April 16, 2009
ATTORNEYS AT LAW		U.S.P.T.O	571-270-8074
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314 USA		COMPANY/FIRM NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER: 2	CONFIRM FAX: YES NO
(703) 413-3000 (703) 413-2220 FAGSIMILE	FROM	Christopher Bullard	290080US6PCT OUR REFERENCE 10/576,723
OBLONPAT@OBLON,COM		(703) 412-6396	YOUR REFERENCE
PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW AND RELATED FEDERAL AND ITC LITIGATION	MESSA	GE	

Please see attached discussion points regarding the personal interview scheduled for Tuesday, April 21, 2009 at 10:00 AM.

Unless otherwise indicated or obvious from the nature of the transmittal, the information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the Intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error or are not sure whether it is privileged, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service at our Expense. Thank You.

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY DO NOT ENTER INTO THE RECORD

Discussion points for Personal Interview scheduled for Tuesday, April 21, 2009 at 10:00 AM: Discuss clarifying that the outer member and the inner member are configured to adhere to the particular substance with a stronger adhesion force than to other substances in the mixed liquid.

Claim 1 (For Discussion Purposes Only): A mixed liquid separating apparatus for separating a particular substance from a mixed liquid containing at least two kinds of substances of which follow rotating property is different, comprising:

a cylindrical outer member having that includes an inducing port for inducing configured to introduce the mixed liquid at [[one]] a first end of the cylindrical outer member thereof, and an expelling port for expelling configured to expel the separated particular substance at other a second end thereof of the cylindrical outer member;

a rod-shaped inner member disposed coaxially with said outer member so as to be relatively rotatable relative to the cylindrical outer member thereto;

a driving means for unit configured to rotate relatively rotating said outer member and said inner member relative to each other; and

at least one of an inner peripheral surface of said outer member and an outer peripheral surface of said inner member having including a spiral guiding wall for guiding that is configured to guide the particular substance from the [[one]] first end to the [[other]] second end, by [[the]] relative rotation between said outer member and said inner member,

wherein said outer member and said inner member are configured to adhere to the particular substance with a stronger adhesion force than to other substances in the mixed liquid such that wherein the particular substance is separated from the mixed liquid when the particular substance is fed during feeding to the [[other]] second end of the cylindrical outer member along the spiral guiding wall surface by [[the]] relative rotation between said outer member and said inner member.